

Fig 1: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (yitu_4)




Fig 3: Identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (nec_3)




Fig 2: DETs by enrollment type




Fig 4: DET for various N. Links connect points of equal threshold.




Fig 5: Dependence on T by number enrolled identities




Fig 7: Investigational mode: FNIR(1600000, R, 0) by probe type




Fig 6: FPIR dependence on T by probe type





Fig 8: FPIR vs. Selectivity



3. Report for algorithm rankone_006 2020-03-20 13:25:33

Fig 10: Template duration; search duration vs. N



Fig 11: Datasheet

Algorithm: rankone_006
Developer: Rank One Computing
Submission Date: 2019_06_03
Investigation rank 60 — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0050 vs. lowest 0.0010 from sensetime_003
Identification rank 36 — FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0370
FPIR = 0.001 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_003