

Fig 1: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (yitu_4)




Fig 2: DETs by enrollment type




Fig 3: Identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (nec_3)




Fig 4: DET for various N. Links connect points of equal threshold.




Fig 5: Dependence on T by number enrolled identities




Fig 7: Investigational mode: FNIR(1600000, R, 0) by probe type




Fig 6: FPIR dependence on T by probe type




Fig 8: FPIR vs. Selectivity




Fig 10: Template duration; search duration vs. N




Fig 11: Datasheet

Algorithm: microsoft_0
Developer: Microsoft
Submission Date: 2018_01_30
Template size: 512 bytes
Template time (2.5 percentile): 274 msec
Template time (median): 281 msec
Template time (97.5 percentile): 297 msec
Investigation rank 41 — FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0038 vs. lowest 0.0010 from sens
Identification rank 39 — FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0407
FPIR = 0.001 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_003

Fig 12: Decline of genuine scores with ageing




Fig 13: Identification FNIR(N, T, L+1) and Investigational FNIR(N, 0, R) under ageing

