

Fig 1: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (yitu_4)




Fig 3: Identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (nec_3)




Fig 2: DETs by enrollment type




Fig 4: DET for various N. Links connect points of equal threshold.




Fig 5: Dependence on T by number enrolled identities




Fig 7: Investigational mode: FNIR(1600000, R, 0) by probe type




Fig 6: FPIR dependence on T by probe type




Fig 8: FPIR vs. Selectivity




Fig 10: Template duration; search duration vs. N




Fig 11: Datasheet

Algorithm:	camvi_5
Developer:	Camvi Technologies
Submission Date:	2018_10_30
Template size:	1024 bytes
Template time (2.5 percentile):	743 msec
Template time (median):	752 msec
Template time (97.5 percentile):	824 msec
Investigation rank 181	— FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.0652 vs. lowest 0.0010 from sen
Identification rank 106	— FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.0995
	FPIR = 0.001 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_003

Fig 12: Decline of genuine scores with ageing

