

Fig 1: Investigational mode: FNIR(N, 1, 0) vs. most accurate (yitu\_4)




Fig 3: Identification mode: FNIR(N, L+1, T) vs. most accurate (nec\_3)




Fig 2: DETs by enrollment type




Fig 4: DET for various N. Links connect points of equal threshold.




Fig 5: Dependence on T by number enrolled identities




Fig 7: Investigational mode: FNIR(1600000, R, 0) by probe type




Fig 6: FPIR dependence on T by probe type




Fig 8: FPIR vs. Selectivity




Fig 10: Template duration; search duration vs. N




Fig 11: Datasheet

Algorithm: camvi_1
Developer: Camvi Technologies
Submission Date: 2018_02_16
Template size: 1024 bytes
Template time (2.5 percentile): 167 msec
Template time (median): 176 msec
Template time (97.5 percentile): 188 msec
Investigation rank 215 --- FNIR(1600000, 0, 1) = 0.2247 vs. lowest 0.0010 from sen
Identification rank 207 --- FNIR(1600000, T, L+1) = 0.6836
FPIR = 0.001 vs. lowest 0.0018 from sensetime_003