Comment Template for: NIST SP 800-217 (Initial Public Draft)

Please submit responses to piv_comments@nist.gov by March 24, 2023

| Organization: | FPKIMA |
|---------------------------------|--------|
| Name of Submitter/POC: | |
| Email Address of Submitter/POC: | |

| | | | | Comment | |
|-----------|---------|--------|---------|---|---|
| Comment # | Section | Page # | Line# | (Include rationale for comment) | Suggested Change |
| | | | | Seems to be inconsistent use of "Distinguished Name of the certificate", Subject Distinguished Name | |
| | 4.1.3 | 17 | 725 | and CN of the subject throught the document | check document for consistency - based on Figure 2 Certificate CN might be the intended meaning |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | sentence would read better as: |
| | | | | | However, because non-PKI-based derived PIV credentials can only be verified by the issuing home agency, PIV IdPs operated |
| | 5.1.1 | 20 | 776-778 | typos | by third parties would need close integration with the issuing home agencies capable of verifying those authenticators |
| | | | | If part of terminating a PIV identity account requires immediate revocation of all associated certificates, | |
| | 5.1.1 | 21 | 794 | status of the account might be immediatedly reflected in certificate status information. | change will to may |