Comment Template for: NIST SP 800-157r1 (Initial Public Draft)

Security Industry Association							
POC:							

Comment #	Section	Page #	Line #	Comment (Include rationale for comment)	Suggested Change
1	1.1 Background	N/A		The language in this section does NOT inform the user of the difference between "derived" and "emulated."	As a derived PIV has no Signed CHUID data object, it remains inappropriate for certain envionments where a real PIV card or emulated PIV card would in fact work. This distinction is critical to
2	2.1	5	N/A	Figure 1, block "DPC Usage" is shown but this section (2.x) does not address as a sub block.	Add in a new Section 2 a paragaph or more on appropriate "DPC Usage." We suggest placeing "DPC Usage" prior to maintenace
3	General	N/A	N/A	Regarding the choice of authentication method by agency, consider how agencies set up their authentication systems. They basically have three choices: 1) Enable both PIV and "non-PKI based derived PIV credentials." 2) Enable just PIV methods: smartcard login, HTTPS with client cert authentication, etc. 3) Enable just non-PKI based derived PIV credentials. When considering whether all three possibilities would be allowed, or whether #3 should be discouraged, an implementing agency would need to ask what is the system, what data will it need, what risks exist (inherent and perceived), what level of inter-agency interoperability is needed and then determine how	

					,
				Regarding PIV use in mobile devices: In 2015, NIST stated- "The	
				next generation PIV Card can be used with mobile devices,	
				enabling federal employees to connect securely to government	
				computer networks from such devices." And, "A new	
				specification protects wireless communications between the PIV	
				Card and mobile device when the cardholder uses	
				authentication, signature or encryption services with a mobile	
4	General	N/A	N/A	device." However, in 2023, NIST writes in NIST.SP.800-157r1 -	
	e circia.	14,71	1,7,7	"While the use of the PIV Card for electronic authentication	
				works well with many traditional desktop and laptop computers,	
				it is not well-suited to other devices, such as mobile devices."	
				What prevents mobile devices from using a PIV card over NFC,	
				with SP-800-73-4's Secure Messaging & VCI? There is a lack of	
				built in support for PIV SM in mobile phones that would allow	
				the use of PIV card private keys in keychain operations. Cards	
				that implament the CD 000 72 / DIV cours maccaging have	
				Cloning a PIV card with on-card generated keys is difficult, and	
				thus the cardholder knows when they control it. But the	
				possibility of temporarily gaining control of a PIV card (perhaps	
				by "shoulder surfing" the PIN, and then using the card for a PIV-	
_				AUTH while the cardholder is distracted) and creating a bogus	
5		ii		derived PIV AAL2 credential creates a new scenario. Even	
				though the cardholder has the PIV card back in full control, they	
				can't be sure that a derived PIV credential was not created for a	
				malevolent party. The PIV cardholder notification requirement	
				attempts to mitigate this problem, but messages can be	
				intercepted and deleted. Thus [for AAL3], "the applicant SHALL	
				"Certificate modification is used" It should be emphasized that	
6	2.1			any/all certificate practices should follow the same practices	
				that apply to PIV. Affecting the same lifecycle activities / actions.	
7	2.2		411-412	"Derived PIV Credentials SHALL be issued" Issuance of a	We suggest changing the word "SHALL" to "MAY" in this instance.
,	-		-== . 	derived credentials should be based on agency policy and risk	

9	2.2		436-438	Notification to PIV holder. This statement is not well defined. Use of "independent means" is not clear. Examples of how that communication may occur would/should offer clarity, e.g. email to personal / work email, text to personal / work mobile	
10	2.3		488-493	"Derived PIV credentials are unaffected" This should be a home agency risk decision/policy statement to depict necessary	This paragraph should be identified as informative - home agency discretion needs to apply to this area and policy document for
11	2.3.1		508-514	"Some maintenance activities" appears/reads as an opinion. If this is a requirement, the issuing card management system workflow would need to enforce such situations. Additionally, policy should be written to support/enforce the	Determine if this paragraph is a requirement.
12	3.1.1		584-588	"The expiration date of a derive PIV authentication certificate" This paragraph reads as an "informative" statement not a	Move the statement/para to Section 2.
	3.3	14	663-668	This section merits to be placed at the beginning of Section 2 and not at the very end. The binding to PIV account is a prerequisite to all Drived PIV Credentials and/or MFA	
13	Appendix A	17		"It will be necessary to store a copy of the PIV Card's key management private key and certificate in the keystore that hosts the derived PIV credential." Placing email encryption / decryption private key(s) in a third location creates an	Adjust language to address this concern.
14	2.2		417-420	Some have described a so-called "Pre-PIV" authenticator as providing quick issuance to new potential PIV cardholders. It is clear that a "Pre-PIV" authenticator issued before the PIV card can never be a derived PIV credential, and thus has no support in SP800-157 for access to any data/service that properly requires a PIV card.	Suggested addition to 2.2 – "Issuance of Pre-PIV authenticators may be deemed a useful alternative while an applicant awaits issuance of their PIV credential. A Pre-PIV (PKI or Non-PKI) authenticator would be an authenticator issued by the home agency based on PIV issuance practices, as no PIV PKI-Auth authenticator exists to issue/create a Derived PIV authenticator. Agencies MAY leverage the use of alternative PKI and non-PKI
	2.2.2	8		It should clarify and emphasize that agency cannot issue a MFA authenticator as a derived PIV without a prior PIV credential issuance. Also, given the emerging adoption of syncheable MFA authenticator (passkey), NIST should be clear on whether this authenticator as non PKI based derived PIV can be syncheable or	Change "physical authenticator" to single device bound security key.

			When referring to home agency's responsibility to vertify or to	
15	All	102	authenticate an individual certificate based and non-certificate	
			based credential, NIST should also reference home agency's	
			Given NIST' current effort on on Migration to Post-Quantum	
			Cryptography, will NIST review how to implement changes in PIV	
16	All		applet certification to accomodate the need of crypto agility,	
			encryption algorithm patching, etc? Addressing how the FIPS	
			140-3 certification specification and process can be adopted to	