

Comment Template for: NIST SP 800-63-4 Suite (Initial Public Draft)

Please submit responses to dig-comments@nist.gov by March 24, 2023

Organization:	DraftKings Inc.
Name of Submitter/POC:	Brent Bandelgar
Email Address of Submitter/POC:	[REMOVED]

Comment #	Publication (Base, 63A, 63B, 63C)	Section	Page #	Line #	Comment (Include rationale for comment)	Suggested Change
1	63A	5.1.6			Successful return of an enrollment code at any address that has been validated (email, telephone, postal address) is sufficient.	Define acceptable methods to validate email and telephone attributes prior to sending an enrollment code. Failing that, re
2	63C		4		The FAL to Requirement table is flipped as compared to the IAL and AAL tables.	Each column should be a FAL and each row should be the requirement to match the presentation in 63A and 63B
3	63B	Note to reviewers 2			PassKeys are subject to implementation differences and may be categorized as different types of authenticators depending on implementation.	Add discussion of second-order recovery risks.