

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** 'Joseph Coco' via DIG-Comments <DIG-Comments@list.nist.gov>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 25, 2024 5:58 PM  
**To:** dig-comments@nist.gov  
**Subject:** [dig-comments] Love it

I get tired of the silly password requirements of many sites. For like a decade I've used question-derived passwords as my security question answers (rather than the actual answer to the question). I use algorithm derived passwords for my passwords, but if I have to change my password every year, that throws a wrench into things. Your new standards sound great. I'm looking forward to it.

I haven't read the entire thing, just the summary from the arstechnica article. I'm glad you gave distinction on unicode characters being treated as single characters. Because I know some emojis, such as the emoji for black + the emoji for cat, appear as a single character but are actually two characters.

I kind of don't like the suggestion that passwords be less than 64 characters, as I'm sure some people use words rather than characters in their password algorithms. But given the huge leap forward the rest of the recommendations are, I'll take it. I don't personally have any passwords which are 64+ characters long.

Joseph Coco

--

To unsubscribe from this group, send email to [dig-comments+unsubscribe@list.nist.gov](mailto:dig-comments+unsubscribe@list.nist.gov)

View this message at <https://list.nist.gov/dig-comments>

To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to [DIG-Comments+unsubscribe@list.nist.gov](mailto:DIG-Comments+unsubscribe@list.nist.gov).